

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,784	06/24/2003	Basil C. Linton	ITW-13971	1672	
44702	7590 08/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY & BROITMAN PC 250 PARK AVENUE, SUITE 825			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE		
NEW YORK, NY 10177			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3727		

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/602,784	LINTON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robin A. Hylton	3727		

	· · · · · , · · · ·				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robin A. Hylton	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause		
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	ow);	•	the issues for		
appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		The state of the s	(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:		•			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
I0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for	allowance		
the reply does not consider the interpretation of top and broader in scope than applicant argues. The claims do bottom of the bag, just at the top and bottom. In fact, cl	not set forth either of the string zippaim 1 also sets forth a flap extendir	pers to be at the edge	of the top and		
addition to the zipper being located at the bottom of the 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		10(e) (i) 1	}		
3. Other:	(1 10/06/00 01 F10-1449) Faper N	MARK	JON		
		DRIMARY FYA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. Part of Paper No. 20050801